

Back then, women were blackmailed into not living according to their true self-interest - and today, girls in particular are educated to a pseudo-morality of hostility towards the body, and then they are talked into something about "sexual self-determination". This may not be as brutal as the procedure 2000 years ago, but the misogynistic or If there is talk of "sexual self-determination" and at the same time every self-confident and harmless alternative is forbidden or at least made out to be something bad, then it is not about freedom of choice and thus not about real "sexual self-determination": Because then the girls are virtually forced into "everything" as "one" wants it, and not according to their real self-interest!

The words in blue font are links that can be clicked on the home page of the website:

www.michael-preuschoff.de:

1. perhaps the most useful is first the **SHORT VERSION**, in which first of all the real Jesus is reconstructed mainly from books which - for a change! - are decidedly hostile to faith. In appendix 2, the practical implementation: I have experienced how girls in particular, who made a very emancipated impression, were thrilled - with their eyes really shining - when I told them about what I have written here about the optimal real emancipation.
2. the overall concept can be found in the brochure **JESUSIDEOLOGY**.
3. **the fall of man as an abuse of sexuality** - overcoming the original sin ideology. And here too: Something different for a change!
4. **translations into other languages** and more
5. **forum** - first correspondence with a "traditional priest" and then with a mother who is concerned about her growing daughter and who has contributed greatly to an alternative concept of

(sexual) moral education through her constant "grumbling".

6 **A strange discrepancy**: Again and again I meet girls (and also boys) who are obviously enthusiastic about the concept, but with adults (no matter whether church or anti-church, religious or anti-religious, except for most parents) I meet with concrete, they quite obviously just don't want "something like that" for the young people. Yet the sexual morals I advocate are actually exactly in line with the teachings of the church - and with reason, too!

7 **And what does the concept of faith in the real Jesus have to do with peace?**

A great deal!

And briefly about me: I have a degree in theology (Catholic) and am a retired vocational school religion teacher. The website is, so to speak, the continuation of my religion lessons, because unfortunately very important information and thus also insights that would have decisively improved the lessons only came after I left active service.

The fact that I pass on the results that have emerged is still part of my teaching, so to speak! I also look forward to contacts:

hpreuschoff@gmx.de!

My aim is to use my theological knowledge and my experience as a teacher to inform people that it is also possible to have a completely different view of our faith, which certainly also results from theological research. I hope that you, dear reader of this pamphlet, will enter into conversation with it in your congregations and, as a pupil, of course also in your religious education classes!

Michael Preuschoff

Translated with www.DeepL.com/Translator (free version)

TOWARDS OVERCOMING THE "BIRD OF STRAW THEOLOGY" - TOWARDS A THEOLOGY ACCORDING TO THE REAL JESUS!

On closer inspection, our religion is an ingenious or even sophisticated "plagiarised religion" - there are simply too many parallels to ancient religions from East Asia and Egypt to Athens and Rome, so that it is itself such a typical late ancient (mystery) religion: [Virgin births](#), [flight to Egypt](#), [sonship of God](#), [redeemer function](#), [miracles](#), [crucifixions of gods](#), [raising of the dead and resurrections](#), [ascensions](#), [Three Kings stories](#), [sacrificial death ideology](#), [celebrations of the Lord's Supper with bread and wine](#) (later [the Trinity construct](#) and [the veneration of the Mother of God](#) were also added) - these are all the typical stories from the ancient pagan religions after all! What coincidences that all these wondrous to outlandish stories also happened with Jesus or that they belong to Christian teachings. According to all the [rules of probability theory](#), there are not that many coincidences! Obviously, a new "universal religion" with an artificial figure at its head was deliberately constructed here - at least initially and by whom and for whatever reason. Apart from the [crucifixion](#), for which there are good arguments, it has nothing or at least not much to do with the real Jesus.

Here is a very nice picture of the old story of how a god begets god's children with an earthly woman (unfortunately I can't find the name of the painter):



Leda and the Swan, an example of a myth from antiquity that has been smuggled - in a modified form, of course - into our Christian faith: This is the story of how the highest of the Greek gods, Zeus, disguised as a swan (as a god he is omnipotent and can therefore transform himself into such a bird), begets god's children with an earthly woman (because Zeus came as a swan, Leda gave birth to two eggs from which a god's daughter and two god's sons hatched as twins).

By now, word of all this should have reached our theologians. Last but not least, I know from a further training course of the diocese of Aachen with a professor of the theological faculty of the Technical University of Aachen the thesis that Jesus' Last Supper most probably never took place.

But all this is largely suppressed in the Christian churches. Quite obviously, most theologians and other church people simply don't want to know about the plagiarism in the New Testament of the Bible, so they bury their heads in the sand like ostriches and carry on as before, as if none of this

concerned them. If that is not undignified and unscientific to the highest degree. Because there is another way! Of course, you have to come up with it first. So here:

Through my contacts with the demimonde (though not direct contacts, but only those "around the corner"!) I have now come across a completely different Jesus, who is absolutely not an artificial figure and with whom one really does not need to bury one's head in the sand! In fact, the incarnation of the sinner in John's Gospel (chap. 8) is neither about forgiveness nor about a trivialisation of what the woman had done, but it is clearly about the story of punishment from the prostitution milieu. It was not about the fact that this woman had "sinned", but that she had not behaved as her "protectors" (or rather pimps) wanted her to, and for this she had to be punished - also as a warning for the other women, in case they wanted to play around. And this Jesus, who, as even theologians now accept, was friends with prostitutes, had obviously seen through such connections and probably got involved against the "evil men" in this case and also elsewhere in public accusations ("against sin, against hypocrites, for real love", which was later turned into pious sermons), and for this he finally had to die.

Of course, the followers of Jesus wanted to continue in his spirit after his death and had also started to do so. But the "evil men" were not idle and infiltrated their people among the followers of Jesus - and they attributed these god stories to Jesus and thus falsified the memory of the real Jesus quite completely. Hence all these "god stories" in the New Testament!

The painter Lucas Cranach the Elder obviously recognised the criminal background very well, or at least had a good idea of it, at least as he painted the picture "Jesus and the Sinner" after the story of the same name in the Gospel of John:



If I interpret the painting "Jesus and the Sinner" correctly, the painter Lukas Cranach the Elder (1472-1553) had the same view of the narrative in John's Gospel as I do, that is, that it is a criminal story. I don't think you can paint it any clearer if you think the would-be stoners are criminals, at least they don't look like stuffy moralisers. And the two "wise older gentlemen" at the back on the right are the typical high-minded theologians and philosophers (and probably also most journalists) who always only see the surface and don't (want to) know what's really going on. In this way, the criminals can go on doing whatever and however they want. A fascinating picture! I already know why I had it repainted for me in Vietnam - and especially without the retouching that has been done over time.