

THE MIDDLE PATH

Alternative tip for young people: Don't consume different sexuality, but cultivate it!

With a modern image of Jesus, that above all

- on the results of German Protestant Jesus research for 250 years
- and on the results of research by church critics and opponents of the church

based, which were and are generally very high-quality scientific.

First a few personal words

I remember my first communion class 74 years ago: the priest did it at least partly himself, and he told us about the curse of original sin, with which all people have been burdened since the fall of Adam and Eve. He also said that one consequence of this curse was shame, that is, that we had the urge to at least cover our private parts. And he also said that Jesus redeemed us from original sin through his death on the cross. I asked somewhat boldly that we should actually be freed from this curse and that the problem of shame should no longer exist. If there is salvation, then this problem should also be solved. I don't remember what the priest's answer was, at least as I remember he was just beating around the bush. And so even today all church people still talk around the topic. But somewhere I still had the problem in my head. You can see in this booklet what I have come up with so far. This has nothing to do with faith and certainly nothing to do with magic, we just have to behave according to our nature. In any case, I think that I was on the right track with my question and my assumption about salvation through Jesus.

Introduction: Fake morality and real (sexual) morality: The “few cases of abuse” are just the tip of an iceberg.

If there are enough MeToo stories today, why shouldn't they have existed 2000 years ago - I think that back then they were even worse than those of today, women and especially girls became "sexual immorality." “literally blackmailed, an indication of this is the story of the beautiful Susanna at the end of the book of Daniel in the Old Testament of the Bible. Above all, there was no free media back then

that could eventually expose something like that.

The thesis of the concept of this issue is that humans have a high potential for genuine morality, but unfortunately this high potential has always not only not been used in pedagogy, but has also been downright destroyed. See also page 21!

So how are girls today - specifically - made to do voluntarily what they were brutally blackmailed into doing two thousand years ago and not even notice how they are really being taken for a ride? The trick is actually quite simple: sexual self-determination is put in the foreground, which is actually a nice thing. But that includes freedom to choose between at least two options. Now 1. those who have nothing in mind with high morals offer the moral model (or better “un-moral model”) “sex before marriage with different partners” until “the right one” is found, and 2. the oh-so-good and highly moral “do-gooders” offer as an alternative the moral model of an uptight, anti-body asceticism a la monks and nuns. So young people actually have a choice and even today it is mostly a very free choice! But which? Because the moral model of the do-gooders "asceticism a la monks and nuns" is completely unattractive and alien to the world and therefore undisputable for the young people from the outset - they don't want to become nuns and monks at all, they just want to find the right partner, this is what happens they're not even in question. So what do they choose? There's no need to puzzle for long - you choose the former...

So manipulation leads to a rejection of high morality - especially on the part of religions, whose moral model is primarily that of do-gooders! To put it bluntly and clearly, the girls are almost forced into taking part in the contempt for women, or rather making fun of women! And the boys and men join in, what else are they supposed to do? By the way, the result is that the “participants” have a guilty conscience at least at some point - and that's the intention. Because the bad conscience is also part of the business model of religions, and unfortunately also of our current...

There would definitely be an attractive MIDDLE PATH without any risk of a bad conscience, namely not consuming different sexuality, but cultivating it. And this is also well received by young people, and how, see foreword 2! This (middle) path would be the path of consciously overcoming shame while at the same time advocating high morality, i.e. sexual intercourse only takes place in marriage. But this middle path is

not only stubbornly hidden from young people with all sorts of excuses or even directly denigrated. For example, that shame is the cornerstone of sexual morality and that violating the rules of shame is disgusting and, in terms of religion, a sin.

But I haven't found anything about scientific research on whether today's education of young people about shame has any "moral nutritional value", and there probably isn't anything. There are quite good experiences with the nudism movement. The task of a moral education that is about effectiveness could be to do a little more research into the ideals of nudism and then add a conscious ethical attitude. This would even correspond to our belief that shame is the sign of a curse and that Jesus has actually overcome this curse - if we live sinlessly. But our "religious officials" don't care about any of that. This is an indication that real sexual morality among young people is not wanted by religions at all - and I am now thinking of definitely all known religions.

In a certain sense, religions are business enterprises that want and need to make their income. OK. However, you can sometimes be operationally blind and adopt dubious practices simply "because it has always been that way". But once religious people are made aware of how things really work, the scales should fall from their eyes and they should be ready for a change as quickly as possible. But today's religious people don't notice anything. That can only mean that they just want to do their job and don't even want to think about whether what they are doing makes sense and, above all, whether it is in the spirit of the one who died a tortured death on the cross. so in the mind of Jesus. And we should only be obliged to that - and to no one else, especially no adulterer or fraudster! And unfortunately, as Protestant German Jesus research has found out for over 250 years, the New Testament does not report on the real Jesus, but the Jesus of the New Testament is largely an invention. The real Jesus was in all probability completely different than how we know him, because his commitment was precisely about the unity of body and soul of people here and now - and that is about being a proper man and woman.

Conclusion: No, we don't need a new Jesus, but the spirit of the real Jesus must finally be resurrected and become effective and the spirit of any falsifiers and deceivers must be overcome! But more on that later.

One task of our Christian religion in particular would now be NOT TO

BE AGAINST EVERYTHING, BUT TO BE FOR THE RIGHT THING. And here one could accuse the churches of not wanting to do exactly that - on the grounds that they are a cult religion and that ethics, for example, are none of their business (of course this is not said that clearly or only rarely, but I know such sayings).

On the subject of the business model: Thanks to the church tax, this aspect of religion has largely moved into the background for us, because the money now comes by itself, without the church people having to preach a reason for wanting it. But it is still true in religions: the more believers have personal problems, the more commercially advantageous for the churches, because the stronger the hope for a better afterlife becomes or was. At least in the past, believers actually behaved largely in accordance with this business model. (You probably know the proverbs: "In old age, whores become pious." Or: "And when he came to old age, he sang pious psalteries.")

And on the subject of sexuality and sin: Actually, everything that happens during non-marital sexual intercourse is sin and even serious sin. I don't want to make anyone's heart heavy who lives in a "non-marital relationship", that's not the point. But I don't know of any serious research in theology regarding sensible moral education on how things can go a little differently for young people. So you want to let everything continue as usual. If this is not only amateurish and unprofessional, not only – in plain English – a real sloppy move, but downright criminal!

I ask you not to misunderstand me here: Of course, just overcoming shame and leaving out swimming trunks and bikinis doesn't help at all, because of course it's not enough to leave something out; young people in particular have to be taught morals from the spirit. But a pseudo-morality remains a pseudo-morality and a pseudo-morality can never become the basis of a real morality!

In any case, I think that the "officials" of the established churches have no real interest in this and therefore no interest in people at all - and that the few cases of abuse that are affecting us at the moment are just the tip of an iceberg and that Crux lies in the criminal structures of the churches and religions in general.

But it doesn't always have to stay that way! It could be different...